UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/802,485	03/09/2001	Burton S. Kaliski JR.	1048-006	5894
80360 7590 09/10/2009 Bainwood, Huang & Associates, LLC 2 Connector Road Westborough, MA 01581			EXAMINER	
			DADA, BEEMNET W	
westborough, MA 01381			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2435	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/802,485	KALISKI, BURTON S.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	BEEMNET W. DADA	2435
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tild d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin 11.	ccepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in reply to an amendment filed on September 02, 2008 and supplemental amendment filed on November 18, 2008.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on 09/02/08 and 11/18/08 have been considered. Applicant's argument is on the grounds that, the prior art on record US 6,829,356 (Ford) does not qualify as a prior art since applicant unintentionally delayed priority claim. Applicant's submitted a petition to accept an unintentionally delayed priority on 09/02/08 and 11/18/08. A petition decision for the petition filed on 09/02/08 has been mailed on 09/17/08. However, no decision has been mailed for the petition filed on 09/17/08. This office action is based solely on the decision mailed 09/17/08. When a response to the petition filed on 11/18/08 is made, Examiner requests applicant to submit their response in accordance with the submitted petition decision. For the purpose of this office action applicant's arguments did not overcome the prior art on record US 6,829,356 (Ford).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1, 2, 4-20, 31, 38-41 and 44-54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ford US 6,829,356 B1.

As per claims 1, 38 and 47, Ford teaches a method comprising:

implementing a multi-party secure computation protocol between a client which has a client secret and a server which has a server secret to compute a third secret from the client secret and the server secret, wherein the protocol is implemented so that the client obtains the third secret and cannot feasibly determine the server secret, and the server cannot feasibly determine the client secret and cannot feasibly determine the third secret [column 4, line 40-column 5, line 18 and column 9, lines 31-41];

authenticating the client by a device, the device storing an encrypted secret and configured not to provide the encrypted secret without authentication and the device being distinct from the server [column 8, lines 50-54 figure 3, step 310]; and

after authenticating, providing to the client by the device the encrypted secret, wherein the encrypted secret is capable of being decrypted using a decryption key derived from the third secret and wherein the multi-party secure computation protocol implemented between the client and the server is the only multi-party computation protocol that is implemented in generating the third secret and the decryption key derived from the third secret (i.e., storing encrypted user data, column 10, lines 1-15);

wherein implementing the multi-party secure computation protocol involves:

at the client, using the client secret to compute client information to harden the client secret and then sending the client information to the server [column 10, lines 29-39];

at the server, using the client information and the server secret to compute intermediate data and sending the intermediate data to the client [column 10, lines 39-44]; and

at the client, deriving the third secret from the intermediate data [column 10, lines 44-54].

As per claims 2 and 41, Ford further teaches the method wherein the third secret is derived from the intermediate data by use of one of a key derivation function and a hash function [column 10, lines 44-54].

As per claim 4, Ford further teaches the method wherein the client secret comprises at least one of a PIN, a password and biometric information [column 8, lines 54-67].

As per claims 5-7 and 44-46 Ford further teaches the method wherein the intermediate data is derived from at least the client secret and the server secret by use of a blind function evaluation protocol [column 10, lines 39-44].

As per claim 8, Ford further teaches the method wherein authenticating comprises authenticating the client based on a time-dependent code [column 8, lines 50-54].

As per claim 9, Ford further teaches the method wherein authenticating comprises authenticating the client based on at least one of a PIN, a password and biometric information [column 8, lines 50-54].

As per claim 10, Ford further teaches the method wherein authenticating comprises authenticating the client based on a secret other that the client secret [column 8, lines 50-54].

As per claim 11, Ford further teaches the method wherein authenticating comprises using an authenticating secret derived from the third secret [column 8, lines 50-54].

As per claims 12 and 13, Ford further teaches the method wherein the device comprise at least one of a file server, a directory server, a key server, a PDA, a mobile telephone, a smart card and a desktop computer [figures 1-3].

As per claims 14-16, Ford further teaches the method wherein the encrypted secret comprises an encrypted private key of a public/private key pair used for asymmetric cryptography [column 10, lines 1-15].

As per claim 17, Ford further teaches the method wherein the encrypted secret comprises an encrypted secret key used for symmetric cryptography [column 10, lines 1-15].

As per claim 18, Ford further teaches the method wherein the encrypted secret comprises at least one unit of encrypted digital currency [column 10, lines 1-15].

As per claims 19 and 20, Ford further teaches the method further comprising verifying that the client has not exceeded a predetermined number of unsuccessful attempts to obtain the intermediate data [column 10, lines 39-44].

As per claim 31, Ford further teaches the method further comprising deriving the decryption key from a third secret, and decrypting the encrypted secret using the decryption key [column 10, lines 39-54].

As per claim 39, Ford further teaches the method further comprising transmitting to the first server by the network server verification that the user has authenticated successfully [column 8, lines 50-54 and figure 3, step 310].

As per claim 40, Ford further teaches the method wherein the network server is a web server [figures 1-3].

As per claim 48, Ford further teaches the method wherein the password is derived from the third secret and a server identifier [column 10, lines 39-54].

As per claims 49-54, Ford further teaches the method wherein the multi-party secure computation protocol comprises the client and the server providing their respective secrets as input into to respective protocol operations that jointly calculate the third secret as a function of the client and server secrets [figures 3 and 4].

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BEEMNET W. DADA whose telephone number is (571)272-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (9:00 am - 5:30 pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Y. Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/802,485 Page 7

Art Unit: 2435

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Beemnet W Dada/ Examiner, Art Unit 2435 January 31, 2009